

1 J. Stephen Peek
Nevada Bar No. 1758
2 HOLLAND & HART LLP
9555 Hillwood Drive, 2nd Floor
3 Las Vegas, NV 89134
Phone: (702) 222-2544
4 Fax: (702) 669-4650
speek@hollandhart.com
5 raloosvelt@hollandhart.com

6 Brett L. Foster (pro hac vice admission)
Tamara L. Kapaloski (pro hac vice admission)
7 DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
111 S. Main Street Suite 2100
8 Salt Lake City, UT 84111-2176
Telephone: (801) 933-7360
9 Facsimile: (801) 933-7373
foster.brett@dorsey.com
10 kapaloski.tammy@dorsey.com

11 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Snap Lock Industries, Inc.*

12
13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
14 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

15 SNAP LOCK INDUSTRIES, INC. a Utah
corporation,

16
17 Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant,

18 vs.

19 SWISSTRAX CORPORATION., a California
corporation,

20 Defendant/Counter-Claimant
21

Case No: 2:17-cv-02742-RFB-PAL

**JOINT REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO FILE
SUPPLEMENTAL STATUS REPORT
AND TO EXTEND
DISCOVERY/DISPUTE RESOLUTION
HEARING**

(First Request)

22 Defendant Swisstrax Corporation (“Swisstrax”) and Plaintiff Snap Lock Industries, Inc.
23 (“Snap Lock”) (collectively, “Parties”), through their undersigned counsel, hereby respectfully
24 submit this Joint Request For Leave to File Supplemental Status Report and to Extend
25 Discovery/Dispute Resolution Hearing, and state as follows:

26 1. On August 28, 2018, the Parties appeared at a status conference hearing regarding
27 outstanding discovery and the parties’ request to modify the existing scheduling order. During the
28 status conference, the Parties made the court aware that they had a meet and confer scheduled for

1 August 30, 2018, regarding disputes related to Swisstrax's responses to Snap Lock's first set of
2 discovery requests.

3 2. At the conclusion of the status conference, the Court ordered the Parties to file a
4 joint status report after the meet and confer outlining any discovery disputes the parties may have
5 with specificity and providing sufficient information within the status report to allow the Judge to
6 make rulings from the bench at a status conference hearing. The Court ordered the Parties to file
7 the Joint Status Report by Tuesday, September 11, 2018 and scheduled a Discovery/Dispute
8 Resolution Hearing to address the issues raised in the Joint Status Report (if necessary) for
9 Thursday, September 13. (ECF No. 70).

10 3. On August 30, 2018, the Parties met and conferred regarding Swisstrax's responses
11 to Snap Lock's discovery requests. Although the parties were able to work together to resolve a
12 number of the outstanding issues, the Parties were unable to reach a mutually agreeable resolution
13 with respect to several issues, which will necessitate the need for the Discovery/Dispute Resolution
14 Hearing to resolve the disputes.

15 4. Although some of the outstanding discovery issues are presently known by the
16 Parties, the precise scope and nature of all discovery disputes that the parties will need to bring to
17 the Court's attention at the Discovery/Dispute Resolution Hearing will not be known by September
18 13 when the Hearing is currently scheduled.

19 5. At the August 30 meet and confer, Swisstrax represented that it would be producing
20 a large volume of documents to Snap Lock on September 7, 2018, which will require Snap Lock's
21 counsel additional time to review.

22 6. In addition, Snap Lock's responses to Swisstrax's first set of discovery requests
23 was due on, and was served on, Friday, September 7, 2018. Although Snap Lock is working
24 diligently to gather all responsive documents, Snap Lock will not be in a position to produce
25 responsive documents until on or by September 18, 2018. Swisstrax will then need time to review
26 and digest Snap Lock's discovery responses and document production, and then to meet and confer
27 with Snap Lock regarding any discovery disputes, before it will be able to determine the scope and
28 nature of any discovery disputes raised by Snap Lock's discovery responses and document

1 production. The current schedule does not allow sufficient time for Swisstrax to review Snap
2 Locks' responses and document production sufficiently prior to the September 13 deadline for the
3 hearing.

4 7. Thus, despite the parties' efforts, the scope and nature of all discovery disputes will
5 not be known by the current date set for the Hearing, and the Parties believe it would be most
6 efficient and would preserve the Parties' and the Court's resources to address all discovery disputes
7 at once in a single omnibus Supplemental Joint Status Report and Discovery/Dispute Resolution
8 Hearing rather than to approach the discovery disputes in a piecemeal fashion.

9 8. Therefore, the Parties respectfully request a continuance of the Discovery/Dispute
10 Resolution Hearing by at least two weeks from the current September 13 date, to a date convenient
11 to the Court's calendar. The Parties also respectfully request leave to file a Supplemental Joint
12 Status Report two days prior to the Hearing that will be an omnibus status report addressing all
13 discovery disputes.

14 9. The Parties have been working diligently and cooperatively on discovery and have
15 been able to resolve a large number of the disputes between them. However, the Parties will need
16 additional time to complete discovery and the proposed deadlines in the current motion to amend
17 the scheduling order are not practical. The Parties believe, however, that because all discovery
18 disputes between the parties will be before the Court in the Supplemental Joint Status Report and
19 at the Discovery/Dispute Resolution Hearing, the Parties will be in a position to propose new
20 deadlines that will be workable and can eliminate any further need to modify the Scheduling Order.

21 10. Good cause exists and the Parties are not seeking the extension for undue delay.
22 Instead, this request is an effort by the Parties to promote judicial economy and address all
23 discovery disputes at once in a single filing rather than through multiple filings spread across
24 several weeks. Moreover, a single filing and hearing addressing all discovery dispute will allow
25 the parties to move forward with depositions and expert reports in a more expeditious fashion.

26 //

27 //

28 //

1 Dated this 10th day of September 2018

2 DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

3 /s/ Steven A. Caloiaro

/s/ Tamara L. Kapaloski

4 John L. Krieger

Brett L. Foster

5 Steven A. Caloiaro

Tamara L. Kapaloski

6 Christian T. Spaulding

Dorsey & Whitney, LLP

8363 West Sunset Road, Suite 200

111 South Main Street, Suite 2100

Las Vegas, NV 89113

Salt Lake City, UT 84111-2176

7 Email: jkriger@dickinson-wright.com

Telephone: 801-933-4082

Email: scaloiaro@dickinson-wright.com

Email: foster.brett@dorsey.com

8 Email: cspaulding@dickinson-wright.com

Email: kapaloski.tammy@dorsey.com

9
10 **ORDER**

11 Good Cause appearing, the Discovery/Dispute Resolution Hearing currently scheduled for
12 September 13 is vacated and reset. The Supplemental Joint Status Report will be due on
13 September 27, 2018, and the Discovery/Dispute Resolution Hearing will be held on October 2,
14 2018, 11:00 a.m. in Courtroom 3B.

15 **IT IS SO ORDERED:**

16 
Hon. Peggy A. Leen

17 United States Magistrate Judge

18 DATED: September 11, 2018

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 10th day of September, 2018, the foregoing **JOINT REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL STATUS REPORT AND TO EXTEND DISCOVERY/DISPUTE RESOLUTION HEARING** was served via e-mail upon the following:

J. Stephen Peek
Nevada Bar No. 1758
HOLLND & HART LLP
9555 Hillwood Drive, 2nd Floor
Las Vegas, NV 89134
Phone: (702) 222-2544
Fax: (702) 669-4650
speek@hollandhart.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Snap Lock Industries, Inc.

John L. Krieger
Steven A. Caloiaro
Christian T. Spaulding
DICKSON WRIGHT PLLC
8363 West Sunset Road, Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89113-2210
jkrieger@dickinson-wright.com
scaloiaro@dickinsonwright.com
cspaulding@dickinsonwright.com

Attorneys for Defendant Swisstrax Corporation

/s/ Tamara L. Kapaloski